

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

| P.O. Box 1450        |            |
|----------------------|------------|
| Alexandria, Virginia | 22313-1450 |
| www.uspto.gov        |            |

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.                             | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---|------------------|
| 09/879,170                             | 06/13/2001      | Eun Cheol Lee        | YHK-0066  | 3664             |
| 34610                                  | 7590 12/29/2005 |                      | EXAM  | INER             |
|  | & KIM, LLP      |                      | WU, XIA   | O MIN            |
| P.O. BOX 221200<br>CHANTILLY, VA 20153 |                 |                      | ART UNIT  | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  | ,               |                      | 2674  |                  |
|  |                 |                      | D. (TIP.) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( | _                |

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application Number         | Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Pater<br>Reexamination | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination |  |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
|                            | 09/879,170              | LEE ET AL.                          |   |  |
|                            |                         | Art Unit                            |   |  |
|                            | Patrick N. Edouard      | 2654                                |   |  |
| Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC |                         |                                     |   |  |

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

|  | i regraf trêti erait rêdra mar real  |
|--|--|
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Reque  | est for Review filed <u>10/31/2005</u> .   |
| <ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is in reason(s):</li> </ol>  | mproper and a conference will not be held for the following  |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been fil ☐ The request does not include reason ☐ A proposed amendment is included v ☐ Other:  |  |
| The time period for filing a response continue the mail date of the last Office communication  | es to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from n, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.   |
| held. The application remains under appeal to is required to submit an appeal brief in according to the following from the receipt of the notice of appears to be one month from the receipt of the notice of appears.   | and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant dance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appealing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period eal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 66 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date |
| The panel has determined the status Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |
|  | has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of merits remains closed. No further action is required by  |
| 4.   Reopen Prosecution – A conference had action will be mailed. No further action is required.   | nas been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office uired by applicant at this time.  |
| All participants: John Dougl   |  |
| PATRICK N. EDOUARD  (1) Patrick N. Patrick N | (3) <u>Christensen Andrew</u> .  |
|  |  |

(4)\_\_\_\_\_.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

(2) Xiao Wu.